Air Force and Scientists Differ on ABM Issue

By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

"A nearly perfect operation-bomb was exploded in space al defense against ballistic "have been grossly in error." missiles appears to be in the Butz, an aeronautical entechnical cards within the gineer who has specialized in next 10 years or less," accord- weapons reporting, said in an ing to an article in the new interview that nuclear scienissue of Air Force Association tists, who declined to be idenmagazine.

from unthinking commitment" class "give off more than 1000 to a new arms race started by times more high energy par-Russian deployment of an ticles than expected."

With such high energy radiyesterday.

Secretary Robert S. McNa- heads for "possibly an hour." mara-who wants to rely on offensive missiles rather than nique, would be to put up defensive ones—and the Joint such a belt as soon as it was Chiefs of Staff, who consider launched that an enemy had an ABM system part and par- launched a barrage of miscel of U.S. deterrence.

The thesis of the Air Force of the defense.

tor of the association's "Air when they are "several hundred feet" deep in the the March issue that IIS ocean. the March issue that U.S. ICBMs and Polaris missiles "could be come obsolescent the Atomic Scientists" said "it

He said U.S. estimates about what kind of energy would be released when a 100-megaton

tified, were his source for that "American scientists must statement about miscalcula-do all in their power to pre-vent the U.S. Government plosions in the 100-megaton

the editor of the "Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists" said ons could be exploded in esterday.

Space to put up a protective belt. "It is believed in some new indications of the widening split in and outside the a belt "would be powerful Government on the ABM enough to turn the most heavisue. ly shielded ICBM warhead into a dud." He said the belt the Government are Defense could remain lethal to war-

The idea, under this techsiles.

Butz wrote that new recon-Association article, just published, is that it is no longer safe to assume that the offense will always stay ahead ble. He said that with such equipment satellites may be J. S. Butz Jr., technical edi-

more quickly than any major is quite possible" that information about Russian ABM progress — "either genuinely believed or artificially spread by vested interests"—may be just as inaccurate as that which triggered charges of an ICBM "missile gap."